

REMARKS

Independent claims 1 and 31 are allowed. The remaining independent claim, claim 15, stands rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Edwards et al. in view of Umeda.

Claim 15 has been amended to include language similar to allowed claim 1 stating that the upper viewing zone and lower viewing zone are such that the ratio of the area of clear vision of the upper viewing zone and the lower viewing zone is less than approximately 3 and greater than approximately $2\frac{1}{2}$. Accordingly, claim 15 as amended is believed to be allowable.

If any minor matters arise after consideration of this amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned by telephone, to expedite issuance of the patent.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: January 30, 2004

By: 

Samuel C. Miller, III
Registration No. 27,360

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620